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1 Introduction

This document describes the suite of test cases to be used for the forty-second round of testing in the Mechanical CAD domain of the CAx Implementor Forum (CAx-IF). The CAx-IF is a joint testing forum, organized and facilitated by AFNeT, PDES, Inc., and the prostep ivip Association. The test rounds of the CAx-IF concentrate primarily on testing the interoperability and compliance of STEP processors based on AP242.

The test rounds in general combine testing of synthetic and production models. Production models will in most cases be provided by the member companies of the organizations AFNeT, PDES, Inc., and prostep ivip Association. When production models are not available from the member companies, “production-like” models will be solicited from the various CAx-IF participants.

This test suite includes synthetic models for testing the following capabilities: Product Manufacturing Information (PMI), both as Graphic Presentation and as Semantic Representation, 3D Tessellated Geometry, Composite Materials, Kinematics, and Assembly Structure with External References in AP242 BO Model XML format.

1.1 Functionality tested in this round

Functionality tested in this round relates to:

- **Product Manufacturing Information (PMI)** describes the capability to embed information about dimensions, tolerances and other parameters which are necessary input for the manufacturing and measuring of the part from the 3D model. This round, the focus will be on the two approaches for the transfer of PMI in the 3D model:
  - “Tessellated Presentation” refers to breaking down each annotation into tesselated elements as supported by AP242 and exchanging them as geometry. This preserves the exact shape of the annotation but is human readable only. The test will include section views as well.
  - “Semantic Representation” refers to the intelligent transfer of PMI data in an associative and re-usable way. This scenario aims towards driving downstream usage and later modifications of the model. The data is machine-readable, but not necessarily visible in the 3D model. The test also includes additional presentation data, which can be linked to the corresponding PMI representation.

- **Tessellated Geometry** is a simplified representation for the part shape, where the geometry is not given as an exact B-Rep model, but as a collection of simple planar faces (triangles) which can be easily and efficiently created and applied in specific use cases. The scope includes watertight tessellation and compressed STEP files.

- **AP242 BO Model XML Assembly Structure** is an implementation format introduced with AP242, and the designated process format for many applications in the aerospace and automotive industries. It will be used in combination with geometry formats matching the respective requirement. In the CAx-IF, the geometry files will be in STEP Part 21 format. The XML files contain the assembly structure and part master information.

- **Composite Materials** are made by layering various plies of material on top of each other. They can be defined in an implicit-precise way, by giving the laminate tables, ply boundaries, orientation, materials, and laminated cores; or in an explicit-tessellated way by calculating the resulting 3D Tessellated Solid. Both representations can be linked to each other.

- **Kinematics** is a capability in AP242 that allows describing the motion of parts over time and in relation to each other. This includes the definition of mechanisms with joints and constraints, defining the kinematic relationships between the parts, as well as motions, which are defined by capturing the positions of the moving parts at discrete points in time.
1.2 General testing instructions for this round

The general procedures for communication of models and statistics are outlined in a separate document, named 'General Testing Instructions'. The document can be retrieved from the CAx Implementor Forum web sites. The latest version is v1.13, dated September 29, 2017.

1.3 Testing Schedule

The following schedule has been agreed on for Round 42J:

![CAx-IF Round42J Schedule](image)

The CAx-IF Technical Workshop will be held in conjunction with a LOTAR meeting. Conference calls and web sessions will also be available.

The CAx-IF R42J Review meeting will take place in conjunction with the PDES, Inc. Fall Offsite meeting and a LOTAR workshop. In addition, conference calls and web sessions will be available for those not attending the meeting to dial in.

1.4 Copyrights on Test Cases

1.4.1 CAx-IF

None of the production test cases which were provided by the AFNeT, PDES, Inc. and prostep ivip member companies may be publicly released for any purpose. The test cases can be freely distributed among the CAx-IF members and can be used for any purposes that are related to CAx-IF testing (i.e. testing, documentation of testing efforts, etc.), if a reference to the originating company is made.

The test cases must not be used for any purposes other than CAx-IF testing or outside of AFNeT, PDES, Inc. and prostep ivip. Test cases provided by the LOTAR project for testing of specific capabilities are applicable to the same restrictions and may not be used outside LOTAR or the CAx-IF.
1.4.2 NIST

The test cases developed at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) are not subject to copyright protection and are in the public domain. NIST assumes no responsibility for the components of the test system for use by other parties and makes no guarantees, expressed or implied, about their quality, reliability, or any other characteristic. The use of the CAD systems to create the Test Models does not imply a recommendation or endorsement by NIST.

For more details, read the disclaimer at http://go.usa.gov/mGVm

2 Synthetic Test Case Specifications

2.1 Test Case SP6: Semantic PMI Representation

All information about this test case can also be viewed in CAESAR on its Information page.

2.1.1 Motivation

Product Manufacturing Information (PMI) is required for a number of business use cases in the context of STEP data exchange. Among others, it is a prerequisite for long-term data archiving. In addition, PMI can be used to drive downstream applications such as coordinate measuring and manufacturing.

Semantic PMI Representation relates to the capability to store PMI data in the STEP file in a computer-interpretable way, so that it can be used for model redesign or downstream applications. Though the definition of the data is complete, it is by itself not visible in the 3D model.

Additional presentation capabilities are needed to display the data in a way that it is visible to the user in the 3D model. Addition of presentation data is optional in the SP6 test case.

The well-known test models from the NIST library contain a wide variety of PMI definitions; however, these definitions are all based on ASME standards. European user companies of the Automotive and Aerospace industries however, are interested in testing PMI based on ISO definitions. Thus, a first set of models was created at prostep ivip, which will be tested for the first time in Round 42J.

2.1.2 Approach

The approach to be used is described in the latest version (at least v4.0.4, dated September 1, 2016) of the "Recommended Practices for Representation and Presentation of PMI (AP242)", which can be found in the CAx-IF member area under "Information on Round 38J of Testing".

Within the PMI domain, the following functionalities are in scope of Round 42J:

- Semantic PMI Representation
- Graphic PMI Presentation (Polyline or Tessellated)
- Correct implementation and definition of the Saved Views (view layout and contents)
- Linking of PMI Representation to Presentation
- Transfer of editable PMI text as User Defined Attributes
- Semantic PMI Representation Validation Properties

The AP242 schema to be used is the AP242 Edition 2 DIS schema, which is available in the CAx-IF member area under "Information on Round 42J of Testing".

As a fallback solution, the "trial" schema distributed together with the Recommended Practices v4.0.4 can be used as well. This is the minimum requirement to support Semantic PMI Validation Properties.
2.1.3 Testing Instructions

The tests will be performed based on a verified set of test models, each with set of well-defined PMI elements. In addition to the models developed in the context of the "MBE PMI Validation and Conformance Testing" project, which has been supported by the CAx-IF in recent test rounds, a new set of test models developed by prostep ivip will be added in Round 42J.

2.1.3.1 NIST Test Model Overview

The NIST models are being constantly updated to the latest CAD software releases by the respective system vendors, in order to improve the definition of the models using the latest CAD system capabilities.

The links to the test model definitions, the NIST web page for the MBE PMI Validation and Conformance Testing Project, and illustrations of the 11 test cases can be found at the end of this document in Annex B.

2.1.3.2 NIST Test Model Access

The updated native CAD files can be downloaded using the hyperlinks in the list below:

- CATIA V5R26 (all CTC models; FTC models 6, 8, 9)
- NX 11 (all CTC and all FTC models – Updated August 20, 2018)
- SolidWorks MBD 2018 (all CTC and all FTC models)
- Inventor 2018 (all CTC and all FTC models)

Even though many updates have already been made by the respective system vendors, a number of verification issues remain to be solved. Should new native models with further updates become available during the test round, they will be distributed and announced accordingly.

2.1.3.3 NIST Test Model Selection

Despite having been tested many times, there are still issues remaining to be solved. Therefore, not all eleven models are being fully tested in Round 42J, but only those where the remaining issues have been identified as the most relevant ones:

- CTC 2 & 5
- FTC 6

Major issues that have been identified in recent tests relate to:

- Use of dimensional_size vs. dimensional_location
- Datum targets
- Dimension types and modifiers

Note: CAx-IF participants are requested to provide STEP files for as many NIST models as feasible. All files will be checked in detail by Bob Lipman using the latest version of the STEP File Analyzer and Viewer (SFA). Only the three models listed above will be fully checked through CAESAR, in order to keep the total effort required at a reasonable level.

2.1.3.4 prostep ivip Test Model Overview

The prostep ivip test model is a small vise. It is actually an assembly, consisting of four individual parts (base, cheek (jaws), pole (handle), and spindle (screw)), however, all PMI elements are defined on the part level. The model has been designed with the possibility in mind to add assembly-level PMI at a later point in time. The dimensions and design of the model have been chosen so that it can be easily manufactured, which allows for using this model for downstream scenarios (manufacturing and inspection). The models have undergone expert user and system vendor review.
A PDF document depicting the PMI and Saved Views defined on each part can be found in the CAx-IF member area under “Information on Round 42J of Testing”.

2.1.3.5 prostep ivip Test Model Access
The native CAD files can be downloaded from the member area of the CAx-IF homepages under “Information on Round42J of testing”:

- CATIA V5 R28
- Creo 4
- NX 11

Note: The test models are supported by the latest version of SFA, if the naming convention for the STEP files is strictly followed:

- sp6-base
- sp6-cheek
- sp6-pole
- sp6-spindle

These names shall also be used when entering the statistics in CAESAR.

2.1.4 Test Model Configuration
The following functionality shall be included in the test files provided for this round of testing, as far as it has been implemented by the CAx-IF participants and is described in the Recommended Practices:
- **PMI Representation** – the re-usable representation of PMI data should be included in all SP6 models to the extent supported by the native system.

- **PMI Graphic Presentation** – Many CAD systems require some minimal presentation information to be able to handle the PMI data in a model. Usually, both PMI representation and presentation data are included in the same file. Thus, some form of presentation information shall be included in the SP6 test case as well.

- **Definition of “Saved Views”** – as far as supported, include the saved views defined in the models, which contain a subset of annotations in the file, and provide a pre-defined position of the model in the design space.
  - All models have multiple Saved Views defined. In the test case definition documents, each page of the PDF document represents one Saved View.
  - For each view, a screenshot showing the model layout (displayed elements, orientation, zoom) shall be provided.
    - **Note** that it is possible to attach several screenshots to one set of statistics in CAESAR. The name of the view shall be given as description for the screenshot.
  - Both “basic” and “advanced” view implementations are allowed.

- **Editable PMI Text** – Some information relevant for PMI is not encoded in semantic entities, but given as plain text, such as the title block information or additional text on feature control frames. In the context of semantic data exchange, this content needs to be editable in the target system. The approach to be used for this is based on the transfer of User Defined Attributes, and its application in the context of PMI is described in section 7.4 of the PMI Recommended Practices v4.0.4.

- **Linking PMI Representation to Presentation** – If a model contains PMI Representation information as well as Presentation data, the corresponding elements shall be linked together, so that a Representation element “knows” which annotation it is being presented in the model. The approach to create this link is described in section 7.3 of the PMI Rec. Pracs. (v4,0.4).

- **Validation Properties** – All participants providing STEP files for this test case are encouraged to include validation properties as far as supported. In particular, for vendors already working on the topic, validation properties for Semantic PMI Representation should be included in the test files, based on section 10.1 in the PMI Recommended Practices v4.0.4.

Also refer to Annex A for test model translation configuration considerations.

### 2.1.5 Statistics

For each STEP file exported or imported for the SP6 test case, vendors must submit the corresponding statistics. To do so, go to the [SP6 Data Sheet], and either fill in the web form, or upload a comma-delimited file (.csv) with the data as listed below.

#### Native Statistics

When exporting a STEP file, report what data importing systems should expect to find. For numeric statistics, enter the respective value or ‘na’ if not supported. For other statistics, select.
either "full support" (i.e. test case and Rec. Pracs. definitions are fulfilled), "limited support" (meaning the implementation does not meet all criteria and issues may be expected on import), or 'na' if not supported.

**Target Statistics**

When importing a STEP file, report the results after processing the file as described below.

**Screenshots**

If presentation information is contained in the test files, it shall be accompanied by corresponding screenshots. Note that CASEAR allows the addition of multiple screenshots per dataset.

**Note** that in order to count the GD&T elements for the statistics, per agreement during the R22J Review Meeting, the actual STEP entity types (datum, datum_target...) shall be considered.

**Note** that based on the Round 35J results, a new count has been added for Composite Tolerances as defined in section 6.9.9. of the PMI Rec. Pracs. (v4.0.4).

**Note** that all statistics – native and target – shall be based on the Semantic PMI Representation data only, and not take any presentation into account.

**Data Sheet Columns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>column name</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>The name of the test model, here 'sp6', with one of the following suffixed: 02, 05, 06, base, cheek, pole, spindle, vice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_n</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system creating the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_t</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system importing the STEP file. For native stats, select 'stp'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scope</td>
<td>A short designation for the contents of the model as defined in the Test Suite. This is for information only; there will be no results for this field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimensions</td>
<td>The number of dimensions processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>datums</td>
<td>The number of datums processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>datum_targets</td>
<td>The number of datum targets processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tolerances</td>
<td>The number of tolerances (all types combined) processed, regardless of composition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compos_tols</td>
<td>The number of composite tolerances processed (number of instances of geometric_tolerance_relationship per section 6.9.9. in the PMI Rec. Pracs. v4.0).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>labels</td>
<td>The number of labels processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pmi_semantic_txt</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether 'semantic' (editable) PMI text was transferred correctly (content and associativity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pmi_semantic_val-prop</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether the validation properties for Semantic PMI Representation matched for all, some or none of the semantic PMI elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saved_view</td>
<td>The name of the Saved View which is the basis for the view-related statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view_annot</td>
<td>The number of annotations included in the specified saved view.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view_pos</td>
<td>pass/fail, whether the model orientation and zoom factor stored for the Saved View could be restored successfully.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elem_visibility</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether all, some, or none of the elements to be displayed in the indicated saved view were mapped correctly into the corresponding draughting_model.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.2 Test Case TGP3: 3D Tessellated Geometry & Tessellated PMI Presentation

All information about this test case can also be viewed in CAESAR on its Information page.

#### 2.2.1 Motivation

In addition to use cases that require a fully defined, precise, semantic definition of the part geometry and associated PMI, as is the focus of the SP6 test case described above, there are also scenarios where the presentation of the data – geometry and annotations – for visual consumption are the primary goal. In such cases, a simplified and optimized version of the model is sufficient.

For this purpose, AP242 introduced a data model for tessellated geometry, which can be used for tessellated part geometry, and also for graphic presentation of PMI in a much more efficient way than was the case with Polylines – especially in the case of filled characters. Tessellated PMI Presentation has been tested in combination with precise B-Rep geometry during previous test rounds; in Round 42J, the focus is a pure visualization scenario, where the part shape as well as the graphic PMI are given as tessellated geometry.

#### 2.2.2 Approach

The approach to be used for Tessellated PMI Presentation is described in the latest version (at least v4.0.4, dated September 1, 2016) of the “Recommended Practices for Representation and Presentation of PMI (AP242)”, which can be found in the CAx-IF member area under “Information on Round 38J of Testing”.

The approach to be used for the definition of the part shape is defined in the “Recommended Practices for Tessellated 3D Geometry” (v1.0; 2015-12-17), available on the public CAx-IF web sites under “Joint Testing Information”.

The preferred AP242 schema to be used is the AP242 Edition 2 DIS schema, which can be found in the CAx-IF member area under “Information on Round 42J of testing”. As a fallback, the AP242 Edition 1 IS version can be used. It can be found on the public CAx-IF web sites under “Joint Testing Information”.

#### 2.2.3 Testing Instructions

The tests will be performed based on a subset of the NIST test models; see test case SP6 for details.

##### 2.2.3.1 Test Model Overview

- See section 2.1.3.1 above, as well as Annex B.
2.2.3.2 Test Model Access.
- See section 2.1.3.2 above.

2.2.3.3 Test Model Selection
In order to reduce the workload for the participating vendors, not all eleven models shall be tested in Round 42J. The following five models have chosen:
- CTC 2 & 5
- FTC 6, 8 & 9

This selection still covers a wide range of PMI elements, while reducing the number of exchanges to be done for each interface vendor.

Note: CAx-IF participants are requested to provide STEP files for as many NIST models as feasible. All files will be checked in detail by Bob Lipman using the latest version of the STEP File Analyzer and Viewer (SFA). Only the five models listed above will be fully checked through CAESAR, in order to keep the total effort required at a reasonable level.

2.2.3.4 Test Model Configuration
The following functionality shall be included in the test files provided for this round of testing, as far as it has been implemented by the CAx-IF participants and is described in the respective Recommended Practices:
- **Tessellated 3D Geometry** – include the part shape as tessellated geometry. If supported, water-tight tessellation shall be used.
- **Tessellated Presentation** – include the PMI elements as tessellated annotations. Stroked, outline, and filled fonts (and combinations) are allowed, as well as styling of the annotations (colors).
- **Definition of “Saved Views”** – as far as supported, include the saved views defined in the models, which contain a subset of annotations in the file, and provide a pre-defined position of the model in the design space.
  - All models have multiple Saved Views defined. In the test case definition documents, each page of the PDF document represents one Saved View.
  - For each view, a screenshot showing the model layout (displayed elements, orientation, zoom) shall be provided.
    - **Note** that it is possible to attach several screenshots to one set of statistics in CAESAR. The name of the view shall be given as description for the screenshot.
  - Both “basic” and “advanced” view implementations are allowed.
    - The Saved Views also shall correctly show (or hide) the part geometry, as well as the non-solid Supplemental Geometry contained in some of the models (see section 9.4.2 / Figure 86 in the PMI Rec. Practices v4.0.4). An additional document pointing out important supplemental geometry elements for the NIST test cases is available in the CAx-IF member area, under “Information on Round 42J of testing”.
- **Cross-highlighting of annotations and annotated shape** – if supported, include in the STEP file the information necessary to maintain the association between annotations and the annotated shape elements in a way, that after import, when highlighting an annotation, the shape elements annotated by it are highlighted too, and vice versa.

Special Round 42J Focus on these points
• Validation Properties for Tessellated Geometry and Presentation – as far as supported and applicable, include validation properties for tessellated 3D geometry as well as tessellated PMI presentations in the files, making sure they are associated with the correct target elements and do not interfere with each other, and evaluate these after import.

Also refer to Annex A for test model translation configuration considerations.

Note that for the creation of the Equivalent Unicode String, the mapping as defined by the “Unicode String Project” report (Revision J) shall be used. This document is available on the public CAx-IF homepages, under “Joint Testing Information”.

Note that for the PMI validation properties, the new optimized implementation structure for validation properties can be used. This is currently defined in section 4.11 of the “Recommended Practices for Geometric and Assembly Validation Properties” (Release 4.4, dated August 17, 2016), which can be found on the CAx-IF homepages, under “Joint Testing Information”.

2.2.3.5 Statistics

For each STEP file exported or imported for the TGP3 test case, vendors must submit the corresponding statistics to CAESAR. To do so, go to the [ TGP3 Data Sheet ], and either fill in the web form, or upload a comma-delimited file (.csv) with the data as listed below.

View-related Statistics

Several of the Statistics for this test case are view-related (e.g. number of annotations, positioning-scaling). The statistics cannot evaluate this for all views in the model. Hence, the idea is to select one specific (interesting) view on export and publish its name in the “Saved View” field of the statistics. It is recommended to use the first view (by name, alphabetized) in the model. Then, fill in the other view-related statistics with the values as valid for this particular view. After import, select the view with the name given in the native statistics and again provide the values valid for this view.

Native Statistics

When exporting a STEP file, report what data importing systems should expect to find. For numeric statistics, enter the respective value or ‘na’ if not supported. For other statistics, select either ‘full support’ (i.e. test case and Rec. Pracs. definitions are fulfilled), ‘limited support’ (meaning the implementation does not meet all criteria and issues may be expected on import), or ‘na’ if not supported.

Target Statistics

When importing a STEP file, report the results found after processing the file as described in the table below.

Screenshots

For each Saved View in the model, provide one screenshot, which illustrates the layout (displayed geometry and annotation, model orientation, and zoom factor). Give the name of the view as the description of the screenshot.

Note that in order to count the PMI elements for the statistics, per agreement during the Round 22J Review Meeting, the names of the tessellated_geometric_set shall be considered.

See section “Indicating the Presented PMI Type” in the PMI Rec. Practices for details.
Data Sheet Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>column name</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>The name of the test model, here: ‘TGP3’ followed by the model index; e.g. ‘TGP3-03’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_n</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system creating the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_t</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system importing the STEP file. For native stats, enter ‘stp’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimension</td>
<td>The number of dimensions processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>datums</td>
<td>The number of datums processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>datum_targets</td>
<td>The number of datum targets processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tolerances</td>
<td>The number of tolerances processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>labels</td>
<td>The number of labels processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saved_view</td>
<td>The name of the Saved View which is the basis for the view-related statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view_annot</td>
<td>The number of annotations included in the specified saved view.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view_pos</td>
<td>pass/fail, whether the model orientation and zoom factor stored for the Saved View could be restored successfully.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elem_visibility</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether all, some, or none of the elements to be displayed in the indicated saved view were mapped correctly into the corresponding draughting_model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>highlight</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether the cross-highlighting for annotations and annotated shape elements works correctly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tess_pmi_area</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether the surface area of the Tessellated PMI annotations was validated successfully for all, some or none of the given annotations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tess_pmi_clength</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether the total length of segments per Tessellated PMI annotation was validated successfully for all, some or none of the given annotations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tess_pmi_c</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether the centroids of the Tessellated PMI annotations were validated successfully for all, some or none of the given annotations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq_unicode</td>
<td>all/partial/none - if the encoding of the equivalent Unicode string was correct for all, some or none of the given annotations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valid_tess_vp</td>
<td>pass/fail, is the instantiation of the validation properties for Tessellated Geometry in the STEP file per the recommended practices?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affected_geo</td>
<td>all/partial/none – whether the affected geometry could be validated correctly for all, some or none of the PMI statements in the model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date</td>
<td>The date when the statistics were last updated (will be filled in automatically)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>issues</td>
<td>A short statement on issues with the file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3  Test Case PDM2: AP242 BO Model XML PDM Interoperability

All information about this test case can also be viewed in CAESAR on its Information page.

2.3.1 Motivation

The AP242 BO Model XML is the designated standard process format for the automotive and aerospace industries. As such, it is being used in a variety of use cases. One of its main application scenarios is the exchange of Product Data Management (PDM) information. To develop the specific capabilities needed for this, the PDM-IF has been launched, and has just concluded its sixth round of testing.
The Recommended Practices for AP242 BO Model XML Product & Assembly Structure are a joint publication of the PDM-IF with the CAx-IF and the JT-IF.

One of the scenarios to be supported in this context is CAD-PDM interoperability. The PDM-IF has already imported test files from the CAx-IF, to ensure that PDM systems can manage data coming from a CAD system, which is consequently missing the document management and versioning information.

The goal of the PDM2 test case in Round 42J is to do the opposite: import data sets coming from a PDM system into CAD systems. The CAD systems shall be able to extract the information they need (assembly structure, placement, references to component geometry files), while ignoring the additional PDM information (configuration management, document management, non-CAD documents). It builds on the experience gathered in Round 41J and aims at testing the derived CAx-PDM interoperability guidelines in section 15 of the Rec. Practices.

### 2.3.2 Approach

The following schemas and documents shall be used for this test:

- AP242 Business Object Model XML – for schema location, see the corresponding Rec. Practices listed below.
- AP242 IS Longform Schema (v1.36), dated May 22, 2014 [JTI]

The documents can be found in the following locations, as indicated:

- [JTI] – Public CAx-IF Homepage, “Joint Testing Information"
- [R42J] – CAx-IF Member Area, “Information on Round 42J of Testing”

As result of the PDM interoperability tests recently carried out in the CAx-IF, PDM-IF and JT-IF, a new section “CAx-PDM Interoperability Guide” has been added as section 15 to the AP242 XML Recommended Practices for Product and Assembly Structure (v1.99.05). It gives specific guidelines how to handle additional PDM information upon import into a CAD system.

### 2.3.3 Testing Instructions

PDM2 is an import-only test case. Two sets of files are provided by the PDM-IF, with the characteristics shown in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Package</th>
<th>AP242 Version</th>
<th>Base Model</th>
<th>File Structure</th>
<th>Source System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS_CONF1_Initial</td>
<td>TC</td>
<td>AS1</td>
<td>All in one</td>
<td>3DExperience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS_CONF2</td>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Biplane</td>
<td>All in one</td>
<td>3DExperience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model setup:

- CONF1 is based on the well-known AS1 model. It does contain configuration management information as well references to non-CAD documents (JPG, PDF).
- CONF2 is a new test model from the PDM-IF, provided by Dassault Aviation, called “Biplane”. The scope is similar to that of CONF1. See below for details.
2.3.4 Statistics

For each STEP file imported for the PDM2 test case, vendors must submit the corresponding statistics to CAESAR. To do so, go to the [PDM2 Data Sheet], and either fill in the web form, or upload a comma-delimited file (.csv) with the data as listed below.

These files are available in a single ZIP package, located in the member areas of the CAx-IF homepage under “Information Round 42J of Testing”.

Corresponding native statistics have already been entered into the PDM2 data sheet. Since everyone in the CAx-IF is familiar with the AS1 assembly, its shape and structure is not shown here.
Target Statistics

When importing a STEP file, report the results found after processing the file as described in the table below.

Data Sheet Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>column name</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>The name of the test model, here: ‘PDM2’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_n</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system creating the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_t</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system importing the STEP file. For native stats, enter ‘stp’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fref_found</td>
<td>all/partial/none - indicates if all, some or none of the references to the external files can be found in the assembly structure file(s), and if they are correctly associated with the respective nodes in the assembly structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fref_processed</td>
<td>all/partial/none - indicates if all, some or none of the referenced files were processed correctly to successfully construct the overall model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assem_struct</td>
<td>pass/fail - if the model structure (assembly tree) was transferred correctly, i.e. no nodes have been added or removed, and all elements are on the correct hierarchical level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assem_place</td>
<td>all/partial/none - whether the placement of assembly components is correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children</td>
<td>pass/fail, indicates whether the number of children for each node in the assembly tree matches the AVP value given in the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valid_child</td>
<td>pass/fail, is the instantiation of the validation property 'number of children' in the STEP file as per the recommended practices for validation properties?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notional_solids</td>
<td>all/partial/none, whether the position of all, some or none of the assembly components in the model could be validated through the 'notional solids' AVP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valid_notion</td>
<td>pass/fail, is the instantiation of the validation property 'notional solids' in the STEP file as per the recommended practices for validation properties?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date</td>
<td>The date when the statistics were last updated (will be filled in automatically)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>issues</td>
<td>A short statement on issues with the file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Test Case KM1: Kinematics

All information about this test case can also be viewed in CAESAR on its Information page.

2.4.1 Motivation

CAD methods have been used for many years now to design individual parts and assemblies of all sizes across all industries, from a single rivet to an entire airplane. Classically, the main focus is to ensure that the part can be manufactured correctly.

Products such as cars or planes are not static, however, contain many moving components: engine, power windows, foldable roof, windshield wipers, cargo doors, etc. Thus, Kinematics are used to ensure they move correctly, and also to illustrate the behavior of the finished product. The use cases range from the definition of the Kinematic Mechanism, providing all relationships and constraints between the elements so that their definition can be changed in the receiving application, to Kinematic Motion, which works like a movie by providing discrete positions of the components over time.
The goal is to use a neutral standard format – AP242 BO Model XML – for the definition of the Kinematic mechanisms and motion, with external references to the applicable geometry format for the respective use case.

2.4.2 Approach

The approaches for “Kinematic Mechanism” and “Kinematic Motion” are described in the “Recommended Practices for STEP AP242 TC Business Object Model XML Kinematics”, Version 0.8 (dated August 14, 2018), which can be found in the CAD member area of the CAx-IF web sites under “Information on Round 42J of Testing”.

During the development of these capabilities, several new entity types have been defined to improve the implementation structure. This will be included in AP242 with the DIS release of Edition 2. To enable immediate testing, a trial XSD schema is available, which is built by extending the AP242 TC schema with these new entities. It is available at the following URL:

https://www.cax-if.de/xml-schema/3001/20170810/bom_20170810.xsd

The corresponding name space definition is given in the aforementioned Recommended Practices, Section 1.1.2.

2.4.3 Testing Instructions

A set of sample models is provided for this first Kinematics test. The mechanism can best be described as a single-cylinder piston engine. The model is available in the following native formats:

- CATIA V5R21
- Creo 3.0
- NX 11

The files can be found in the File Repository inside the CAx-IF member area, in the folder “/CAD/Round 41J/Kinematics”.

Figure 5: Illustration of the KM1 test models
The following is in scope of Round 42J:

- **Kinematic Motion** provides discrete positions of the moving components with a time index and can be played back similar to a stop-motion animation. This capability is aimed mostly at viewing and long-term archiving scenarios, because it does not require the target application to have a kinematic solver.

- **Kinematic Mechanism** includes the definition of kinematic pairs (joints and constraints) and actuators. The goal is that the mechanism is editable in the target system, while preserving the dependencies defined in the original system.

- **Assembly & Kinematic Data** shall be provided in a single AP242 BO Model XML file.

- **Geometry** shall be included as STEP AP242 Part 21 precise B-Rep files.

**Note** that the Kinematic capabilities (Mechanism as well as Motion) are tested in cooperation with the JT Implementor Forum, in order to increase the number of participating systems and to enable exchange of data between different systems. This requires replacing the geometry files as well as the file references in the AP242 XML file but has no impact on the actual Kinematic capabilities.

### 2.4.4 Statistics

For each STEP file exported or imported for the KM1 test case, vendors must submit the corresponding statistics. To do so, go to the [KM1 Data Sheet](http://www.cax-if.org/), and either fill in the web form, or upload a comma-delimited file (.csv) with the data as listed below.

#### Native Statistics

When exporting a STEP file, report what data importing systems should expect to find. For numeric statistics, enter the respective value or 'na' if not supported. For other statistics, select 'full support' (i.e. test case and Rec. Pracs. definitions are fulfilled), 'limited support' (meaning the implementation does not meet all criteria and issues may be expected on import), or 'na' if not supported.

#### Target Statistics

When importing a file, report the results found after processing the file as described below.

#### Kinematics-specific Statistics

For more detailed information about and discussion of in the Kinematics-specific statistics, please refer to section 4.12 of the Kinematics Recommended Practices mentioned above.

### Data Sheet Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>column name</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>The name of the test model, here 'SM2'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_n</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system creating the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_t</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system importing the STEP file. For native stats, select 'stp'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assem_struct</td>
<td>pass/fail - if the model structure (assembly tree) was transferred correctly, i.e. no nodes have been added or removed, and all elements are on the correct hierarchical level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kin_motions</td>
<td>The number of Kinematic Motions defined in the model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kin_motion_paths</td>
<td>The number of paths defined for a Kinematic Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kin_mechanisms</td>
<td>The number of Kinematic Mechanisms defined in the model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kin_mech_pairs</td>
<td>The number of low/high order Kinematic Pairs defined for a Kinematic Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>column name</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kin_mechActs</td>
<td>The number of Kinematic Pairs that have a non-zero value in the attribute 'actuation', i.e. where an initial movement can occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date</td>
<td>The date when the statistics were last updated (will be filled in automatically)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>issues</td>
<td>A short statement on issues with the file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 **Test Case CO2: Composite Materials (Ply Contour)**

All information about this test case can also be viewed in CAESAR on its Information page.

2.5.1 **Motivation**

For several years, some STEP composite interfaces have been available in several CAD tools such as CATIA V5, FiberSIM and in CT CoreTechnologie tools, with a certain level of maturity proven by LOTAR pilot projects.

The goal of including Composite Materials in a CAX-IF test round is to align these implementations and provide an official framework for composite materials implementation tests as STEP AP 242e1 since it includes this capability.

2.5.2 **Approach**

The scope of this test case is the “exact implicit” representation of composites where the ply geometry is based on surfaces and contours. “Basic” composite validation properties at the part level are also in scope of this test case. The approximate explicit representation of composite plies, where there is a 3D tessellated solid for each ply, is out of scope for this test case.

The approach is to export and to import composite information in STEP AP242 based on the:

- The “AP242 Edition 1 MIM Longform EXPRESS Schema with Composite Patch” can be used as a fallback
- Recommended Practices for Composite Materials; Version 3.4; June 13, 2017
- Draft Recommended Practices for Composite Structure Validation Properties; Release 0.9; June 8, 2017

Unless otherwise noted, the documents are available in the member area of the CAX-IF homepages, under “Information on Round 40J of Testing”.

As the validation properties recommended practices have not been completely agreed upon, some tests will be done by end user checks.

2.5.3 **Testing Instructions**

The test case CPD_PUBLIC_LOTAR.CATPart will be used. The model has been provided by Airbus Helicopter.
The test case is available in the member area of the CAx-IF homepages, under “Information on Round 40J of Testing”.

2.5.4 Statistics
For each STEP file exported or imported for the CO2 test case, vendors must submit the corresponding statistics. To do so, go to the [CO2 Data Sheet], and either fill in the web form, or upload a comma-delimited file (.csv) with the data as listed below.

Native Statistics
When exporting a STEP file, report what data importing systems should expect to find. For numeric statistics, enter the respective value or 'na' if not supported. For other statistics, select either ‘full support’ (i.e. test case and Rec. Pracs. definitions are fulfilled), 'limited support' (meaning the implementation does not meet all criteria and issues may be expected on import), or 'na' if not supported.

Target Statistics
When importing a file, report the results found after processing the file as described below:

Ply-related Statistics
Several of the Statistics for this test case are related to a specific ply within a specific sequence (e.g., material, orientation, rosette). The statistics cannot evaluate this for all plies in the model. Hence, the idea is to select one specific (interesting) sequence and ply on export, and to publish its name in the “Composite Ply Sequence” field of the statistics. Then, fill in the other ply-related statistics with the values as valid for this particular sequence and ply. After import, select the sequence and ply with the name given in the native statistics, and again provide the values valid for this particular sequence and ply.

The sequence and ply to be used for evaluating the CO3 test case in Round 40J is:

PLY SC-0035 of SEQUENCE A035

Statistics for Core Sample Point
The position of the point for the Core Sample shall be given for:

CORE SAMPLE CS1

Statistics for Flatten Pattern
The length of the curve contour of the flatten pattern shall be given for:

PLY SC0200 of SEQUENCE C010
### Data Sheet Columns

These statistics will be enhanced in future test rounds, especially with the release of newer versions of the Recommended Practices for Composite Structure Validation Properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>column name</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>The name of the test model, here 'CO3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_n</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system creating the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_t</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system importing the STEP file. For native stats, select 'stp'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unit</td>
<td>The unit the model is designed in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compos_tables</td>
<td>The number of Composite Tables in the Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequences</td>
<td>The number of Sequences in the model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plies</td>
<td>The total number of plies in the file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>num_materials</td>
<td>Total number of Materials defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compos_table_name</td>
<td>The name of the Composite Table of the model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ply_sequence</td>
<td>The ID of the Sequence and the ID of the Ply within that Sequence for all ply-related statistics; e.g., &quot;Ply.P4 of Sequence.S4&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_number</td>
<td>The total number of Plies defined within the Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_material</td>
<td>The name of the Material of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_mat_type</td>
<td>The type of Material of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_orient</td>
<td>pass/fail - whether the orientation of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet was correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_rosette</td>
<td>The name of the Rosette of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ply_surface_area</td>
<td>The value of the area of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>num_core_samples</td>
<td>The total number of core samples in the file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cs_pointx</td>
<td>Position of the point for the Core Sample indicated in the Test Suite.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cs_pointy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cs_pointz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fp_length</td>
<td>The length of the curve contour of the Flatten pattern of the ply and sequence indicated in the Test Suite document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation_c_tables</td>
<td>Total number of Composite Tables in the model, as received via the validation properties capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation_sequences</td>
<td>Total number of Sequences as received via the validation properties capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>column name</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation_plies</td>
<td>Total number of Plies (entire assembly) as received via the validation properties capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation_c_materials</td>
<td>Total number of Materials as received via the validation properties capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation_c_orient</td>
<td>pass/fail, indicates whether the Number of Orientations per part in the model matches the Composite validation property value given in the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation_ply_area</td>
<td>pass/fail, indicates whether the sum of all ply surface areas in the part matches the Composite validation property value given in the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation_ply_centroid</td>
<td>pass/fail, indicates whether the sum of all ply geometric centroids in the part matches the Composite Validation Property value given in the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valid_cvp</td>
<td>pass/fail, is the instantiation of the validation properties for Tessellated Geometry in the STEP file as per the recommended practices?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date</td>
<td>The date when the statistics were last updated (will be filled in automatically)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>issues</td>
<td>A short statement on issues with the file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.6 Test Case CO3: Composite Materials (3D Explicit Ply Representation)

All information about this test case can also be viewed in CAESAR on its Information page.

#### 2.6.1 Motivation

For several years, some STEP composite interfaces have been available in several CAD tools such as CATIA V5, FiberSIM and in CT CoreTechnologie tools, with a certain level of maturity proven by LOTAR pilot projects.

The goal of including Composite Materials in a CAx-IF test round is to align these implementations and provide an official framework for composite materials implementation tests as STEP AP 242e1 includes this capability.

#### 2.6.2 Approach

The scope of this test case is the “3D tessellated” representation for each ply. The approximate explicit representation of composite plies includes a 3D tessellated solid for each ply.

The approach is to export and import the composite information in STEP AP242 based on the Recommended Practices for Composite Materials; Version 3.4; June 13, 2017. The document is available in the member area of the CAx-IF homepages, under “Information on Round 40J of Testing”.

Implementation requires at least using the “AP242 Edition 1 MIM Longform EXPRESS Schema with Composite Patch”, which is available in the same location.

The recommended schema to use, though, is the “AS242 Edition 2 DIS Longform EXPRESS Schema”, which is available under “Information on Round 42J of Testing” in the member area.

Refer to Annex C for further information on the extended data model.

As the validation properties recommended practices have not been completely agreed upon, the tests will be done by end user checks.
2.6.3 Testing Instructions
The test case ASME_Y14.37_RosetteType2.CATPart will be used. The model has been provided by The Boeing Company.

The test model contains the 3D tessellated representation of each ply.

The test case is available in the member area of the CAx-IF homepages, under “Information on Round 40J of Testing”.

2.6.4 Statistics
For each STEP file exported or imported for the CO3 test case, vendors must submit the corresponding statistics. To do so, go to the [CO3 Data Sheet], and either fill in the web form, or upload a comma-delimited file (.csv) with the data as listed below.

Native Statistics
When exporting a STEP file, report what data importing systems should expect to find. For numeric statistics, enter the respective value or 'na' if not supported. For other statistics, select either 'full support' (i.e. test case and Rec. Pracs. definitions are fulfilled), 'limited support' (meaning the implementation does not meet all criteria and issues may be expected on import), or 'na' if not supported.

Target Statistics
When importing a STEP file, report the results found after processing the file as described in the table below.

Ply-related Statistics
Several of the Statistics for this test case are related to a specific ply within a specific sequence (e.g., material, orientation, rosette). The statistics cannot evaluate this for all plies in the model. Hence, the idea is to select one specific (interesting) sequence and ply on export, and to publish its name in the "Composite Ply Sequence" field of the statistics. Then, fill in the other ply-related statistics with the values as valid for this particular sequence and ply. After import, select the sequence and ply with the name given in the native statistics, and again provide the values valid for this particular sequence and ply.

The sequence and ply to be used for evaluating the CO3 test case in Round 40J is: 

\[ PLY.P4 \text{ of SEQUENCE S.4} \]

Data Sheet Columns
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>column name</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>The name of the test model, here 'CO3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_n</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system creating the STEP file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system_t</td>
<td>The system code of the CAD system importing the STEP file. For native stats, select 'stp'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unit</td>
<td>The unit the model is designed in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compos_tables</td>
<td>The number of Composite Tables in the Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compos_table_name</td>
<td>The name of the Composite Table of the model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequences</td>
<td>The number of Sequences in the model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plies</td>
<td>The total number of plies in the file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>num_materials</td>
<td>Total number of Materials defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>num_saved_views</td>
<td>The number of Saved Views defined in the model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>num_annotations</td>
<td>The total number of Annotations defined in the model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ply_sequence</td>
<td>The ID of the Sequence and the ID of the Ply within that Sequence for all ply-related statistics. For CO3, use: &quot;Ply.P4 of Sequence.S4&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_number</td>
<td>The total number of Plies defined within the Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_material</td>
<td>The name of the Material of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_mat_type</td>
<td>The type of Material of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_orient</td>
<td>pass/fail - whether the orientation of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet was correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq_ply_rosette</td>
<td>The name of the Rosette of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ply_rosette_type</td>
<td>The type of the Rosette of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ply_contour_area</td>
<td>The surface area of the ply contour of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facets</td>
<td>The number of facets in the Tessellated model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ply_explicit_area</td>
<td>The surface area of the 3D explicit representation (tessellated geometry) of the specific Ply and Sequence as listed in the &quot;Composite Ply Sequence&quot; column of the data sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date</td>
<td>The date when the statistics were last updated (will be filled in automatically)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>issues</td>
<td>A short statement on issues with the file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex A  NIST Model Translation Configuration Considerations

Based on data translation issues identified in the NIST Phase 2 project (requiring multiple dataset submission iterations to resolve), the following translator configuration considerations have been derived for the PMI-related test cases (SP6 and TGP3) in Round 42J:

- Include annotations, coordinate systems, model properties, and PMI views
- Include supplemental geometry (non-solid surfaces, curves, points)
- Preserve annotation associations with both product and supplemental geometry
- Preserve annotation semantic PMI properties
  - Clearly point out if these are intentionally not translated
- Preserve annotation text
  - Creo should be configured to display dimension tolerances (tol_display on)
  - Do not drop leading zeros or add trailing zeros
- Preserve annotation units
  - CTC 01, 02, and 04 are defined in millimeters
  - CTC 03 and 05 are defined in inches
  - FTC 06 through 09 models are defined in inches
  - FTC 10 and 11 models are defined in millimeters
- Preserve display names of annotations and coordinate systems
  - Point out if you use NX 9 or newer since this will change some of the annotation names (see Figure 8 below)
- Preserve display colors of product geometry, supplemental geometry, and annotations
- Preserve view-specific visibility of annotations, coordinate systems, and supplemental geometry:
  - In the ZIP files with the test case specifications (see links in section 2.1.3), there is a PDF named "nist_[ctc/ftc]_suppl_elem_visibility.pdf" which gives a detailed definition of which elements shall be visible in which view, and which not.
  - Note that for each test case, there is a second PDF document included in the ZIP files, named “…_elem_ids.pdf” which contains the element ids for unambiguous identification of all PMI.
- Preserve view frustum (orientation and zoom level) definition:
  - JT model views should be defined so they are listed in the "Model Views" menu of JT2Go and work properly when selected
- Do not export extraneous information
  - Only CATIA Captures (not Views) should be exported to STEP Saved Views
  - Creo sketch dimensions should only be included when visible in a Combined View
Figure 8: NX 8 vs. NX 9 Dimension Display Names
Annex B  NIST Model Definitions

This section provides an overview of the test models used for testing of PMI capabilities in Round 42J, namely SP6 (see section 2.1) and TGP3 (see section 2.2). All of these models have been developed in the course of NIST’s “MBE PMI Validation and Conformance Testing Project”. Information on this project and related activities can be found on internet at https://pages.nist.gov/CAD-PMI-Testing/

The full suite of models consists of two data sets:

First, the so-called Complex Text Cases (CTC). These are the models with indices 01-05. They contain a collection of basic PMI constructs. Download the definitions from:


Second, the so-called Fully-toleranced Test Cases (FTC). These are the models with indices 06-11. They are fully defined models, providing all information required to actually manufacture and inspect the models. Download the definitions from:


In order to reduce the workload for the participating vendors, not all eleven models shall be tested in Round 42J. The following five models have chosen:

- CTC 2 & 5
- FTC 6, 8 & 9

This selection still covers a wide range of PMI elements, while reducing the number of exchanged to be done for each interface vendor. The models are indicated with a label below.

The illustrations below show the first page of the PDF document for each test model.
NIST PMI Test Models - 2012

Test Model 2

Notes (unless otherwise specified):

1. Certain dimensions for all undimensioned features from the model. All dimensions obtained from the model are basic unless otherwise specified.

2. ASME Y14.41-2003 applies to dimensions and tolerancing.

These notes shall be placed on a static annotation plane (the plane does not rotate with the model).

The intent of ATC50 is to test systems' support for static annotation planes. Including a feature control frame in a general note will be a test case in the next round of testing.

PMI Complex Test Case 2 - View 1 (of 3)
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 28, 29, 43, 47, 50

NIST PMI Test Models - 2012

Test Model 3

Datum Feature F not referenced - justifies placing MCS at center of large hole on flange

PMI Complex Test Case 3
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 6, 13, 14, 20, 27, 32, 36, 39, 45, 46
NIST PMI Test Models - 2012

Test Model 4

This tolerance applies to the top surfaces above datum features G and H. The string "2 SURFACES" does not clarify which surfaces. Associativity will.

PMI Complex Test Case 4
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 5, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 22, 30, 40, 49

NIST PMI Test Models - 2012

Test Model 5

Notes
Datum feature B and Datum target D1 defined in View 2 (of 2).

PMI Complex Test Case 5 - View 1 (of 2)
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 11, 16, 19, 23, 24, 37, 42, 44
NIST PMI Test Models - 2014

NOTES (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED):

1. CAD MODEL REV ... IS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE PRODUCT DEFINITION.

2. DIRECTLY-TOLERANCED DIMENSIONS AND BASIC DIMENSIONS DEFINED ON THE DRAWING TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER DIMENSIONAL DATA DEFINED BY THE MODEL. OBTAIN ALL OTHER DIMENSIONAL DATA FROM THE MODEL. THE MODEL REPRESENTS BASIC DIMENSIONAL DATA UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

3. APPLICABLE STANDARDS:
   ASME Y14.41-2003 APPLIES TO DATASET.
   ASME Y14.5M-1994 APPLIES TO DIMENSIONING AND TOLERANCING.

4. ☐ 06 A/B/C APPLIES TO ALL UNTOlerANCED SURFACES.

5. UNITS: INCHES

PMI Fully-Toleranced Test Case 6 - View A
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 52, 53, 72, 87, 88, 89

R42J

NIST PMI Test Models - 2014

NOTES (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED):

1. CAD MODEL REV ... IS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE PRODUCT DEFINITION.

2. DIRECTLY-TOLERANCED DIMENSIONS AND BASIC DIMENSIONS DEFINED ON THE DRAWING TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER DIMENSIONAL DATA DEFINED BY THE MODEL. OBTAIN ALL OTHER DIMENSIONAL DATA FROM THE MODEL. THE MODEL REPRESENTS BASIC DIMENSIONAL DATA UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

3. APPLICABLE STANDARDS:
   ASME Y14.41-2003 APPLIES TO DATASET.
   ASME Y14.5M-1994 APPLIES TO DIMENSIONING AND TOLERANCING.

4. ☐ 06 A/B/C APPLIES TO ALL UNTOlerANCED SURFACES.

5. FEATURES IDENTIFIED AS STATISTICALLY TOLERANCED ☐ SHALL BE PRODUCED WITH STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROLS OR TO THE MORE RESTRICTIVE ARITHMETIC LIMITS SPECIFIED. DETAILED PROCESS PLAN NEEDED TO COMPLETELY DEFINE STATISTICAL PARAMETERS AND REQUIREMENTS.

6. UNITS: INCHES

PMI Fully-Toleranced Test Case 7 - View A
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 84, 85
NIST PMI Test Models - 2014

NOTES (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED):

1. CAD MODEL _REV. ___ IS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE PRODUCT DEFINITION.

2. DIRECTLY-TOLERANCED DIMENSIONS AND BASIC DIMENSIONS DEFINED ON THE DRAWING TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER DIMENSIONAL DATA DEFINED BY THE MODEL. OBTAIN ALL OTHER DIMENSIONAL DATA FROM THE MODEL. THE MODEL REPRESENTS BASIC DIMENSIONAL DATA UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

3. APPLICABLE STANDARDS:
   ASME Y14.41-2003 APPLIES TO DATASET.
   ASME Y14.5M-1994 APPLIES TO DIMENSIONING AND TOLERANCING.

4. A,B,C APPLY TO ALL UNTOLERANCED SURFACES.

5. DIMENSIONING AND TOLERANCING APPLY WITH PART RESTRAINED AS FOLLOWS, EXCEPT AS INDICATED:
   PLACE DATUM FEATURE A AGAINST DATUM FEATURE SIMULATOR A.
   ENGAGE DATUM FEATURES B AND C WITH DATUM FEATURE SIMULATORS B AND C RESPECTIVELY.
   APPLY LOAD TO PART TO RESTRAIN DATUM FEATURE A AGAINST ITS SIMULATOR.
   DETAILED INSPECTION PLAN NEEDED TO COMPLETELY DEFINE RESTRAINT.

6. UNITS: INCHES

PMI Fully-Toleranced Test Case 8 - View A
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 66, 90

NIST PMI Test Models - 2014

NOTES (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED):

1. CAD MODEL _REV. ___ IS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE PRODUCT DEFINITION.

2. DIRECTLY-TOLERANCED DIMENSIONS AND BASIC DIMENSIONS DEFINED ON THE DRAWING TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER DIMENSIONAL DATA DEFINED BY THE MODEL. OBTAIN ALL OTHER DIMENSIONAL DATA FROM THE MODEL. THE MODEL REPRESENTS BASIC DIMENSIONAL DATA UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

3. APPLICABLE STANDARDS:
   ASME Y14.41-2003 APPLIES TO DATASET.
   ASME Y14.5M-1994 APPLIES TO DIMENSIONING AND TOLERANCING.

4. DIMENSION AND TOLERANCE VALUES SHOWN IN SQUARE BRACKETS [ ] ARE MILLIMETERS.


6. UNITS: INCHES

PMI Fully-Toleranced Test Case 9 - View A
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 59, 61
NIST PMI Test Models - 2014

NOTES (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED):

1. CAD MODEL ___. REV ___. IS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE PRODUCT DEFINITION.

2. DIRECTLY-TOLERANCED DIMENSIONS AND BASIC DIMENSIONS DEFINED ON THE DRAWING TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER DIMENSIONAL DATA DEFINED BY THE MODEL. OBTAIN ALL OTHER DIMENSIONAL DATA FROM THE MODEL. THE MODEL REPRESENTS BASIC DIMENSIONAL DATA UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

3. APPLICABLE STANDARDS:
   ASME Y14.41-2003 APPLIES TO DATASET AND MOVABLE DATUM TARGETS.
   ASME Y14.5M-1994 APPLIES TO DIMENSIONING AND TOLERANCING.
   FULL DIAMETER OF EACH INDICATED HOLE MUST INTERSECT WITH THE CROSS-DRILLED HOLE AT ITS BOTTOM. INDICATED HOLES MUST NOT CONTACT FAR SIDE OF CROSS-DRILLED HOLES.
   APPLIES TO ALL UNTOLERANCED SURFACES.

6. UNITS: MILLIMETERS

PMI Fully-Toleranced Test Case 10 - View A
Includes Atomic Test Cases - N/A

PMI Fully-Toleranced Test Case 11 - View A
Includes Atomic Test Cases - 55, 100

Annex C  Composite Data model for Rosette Type 2
This section gives some additional information provided by Dassault Systèmes on the CO3 test case, see section 2.6.

**Figure 9: ASME_Y14.37_RosetteType2.CATPart**

**Figure 10: Old Recommendation from AP203e2**
In AP203 Edition, the 2nd \texttt{axis2_placement_3d} provided the orientation angle (same axis, angle between \texttt{ref_directions} (= x-axis)) as shown in Figure 10 above. However, this only works for cartesian rosette.

In AP242e2, the orientation angle is explicitly defined, as shown in Figure 11 below. This works for cartesian, curve guided, cylindrical, polar etc. rosettes.

![Figure 11: New Recommendations AP242 E2](image)

The new entities developed to support this are described below. They are contained in the “AP242 Edition 1 MIM Longform EXPRESS Schema with Composite Patch”, which is available in the CAX-IF member area under “Information on Round 40J of Testing”.

```xml
ENTITY reinforcement_orientation_basis
  SUBTYPE OF (representation);
  SELF\representation.items : SET[1:1] OF basis_11_direction_member;
END_ENTITY;

TYPE basis_11_direction_member = SELECT
  {cartesian_11,
   curve_11}
END_TYPE;

ENTITY cartesian_11
  SUBTYPE OF (geometric_representation_item);
END_ENTITY;
```
ENTITY cartesian_11
   SUBTYPE OF (geometric_representation_item);
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY curve_11
   SUBTYPE OF (geometric_representation_item);
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY ply_angle_representation
   SUBTYPE OF (representation);
   self\representation.items : SET [1:1] OF measure_representation_item;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY ply_orientation_angle
   SUBTYPE OF (representation_relationship);
   SELF\representation_relationship.rep_1 : reinforcement_orientation_basis;
   SELF\representation_relationship.rep_2 : ply_angle_representation;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY draped_orientation_angle
   SUBTYPE OF (ply_orientation_angle);
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY laid_orientation_angle
   SUBTYPE OF (ply_orientation_angle);
END ENTITY;